

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/520,806		AKKAR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DARREN SCHWARTZ		2435	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) DARREN SCHWARTZ.

(2) Mr. Pehr Jansson.

**Date of Interview:** 28 July 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*103(a)*

Claims discussed:

*1, 6 and 8*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Lim and Sibert*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Discussed amendments to further define the invention, obviate issues under 112P2 and obviate potential issues under 101.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/DARREN SCHWARTZ/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2435

**Status of Application:** NOA

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 11 AM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)